1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION DOCKETED 2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL **CHAIRMAN** APR 2 4 2001 3 JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER **DOCKETED BY** 4 MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER 5 DOCKET NO. T-03842A-00-0130 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 6 ADVANCED TELCOM GROUP, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND 7 DECISION NO. 63600 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE FACILITIES-BASED AND RESOLD LOCAL 8 EXCHANGE, INTEREXCHANGE, AND ACCESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES **OPINION AND ORDER** 9 March 8, 2001 DATE OF HEARING: 10 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Stephen Gibelli 12 Mr. Richard H. Levin, Chief Regulatory Counsel, on APPEARANCES: 13 behalf of Advanced TelCom Group, Inc.; 14 Mr. Devinti Williams, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona 15 Corporation Commission. 16 BY THE COMMISSION: Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 17 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 18 19 FINDINGS OF FACT On February 29. 2000, Advanced TelCom Group, Inc. ("Advanced" or "Applicant") 20 1. filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity 21 ("Certificate") to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, interexchange, 22 exchange access telecommunications services in Arizona. 23 Advanced is a Delaware corporation, authorized to do business in Arizona since 2000. 24 2. On July 5, 2000, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff 25 3. Report, which recommended approval of the application and included a number of additional 26 27 recommendations. 28

4.	On July	14, 2	2000, a	Procedu	ral Order	was	issued	setting	the	matter	for	hearing	on
September 20,	2000.												

- 5. On September 13, 2000, Advanced made a request for a continuance.
- 6. On September 19, 2000, a second Procedural Order was issued granting the continuance and setting the matter for hearing on November 29, 2000.
- 7. On October 10, 2000, Advanced filed Affidavits of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.
  - 8. On November 14, 2000, Advanced filed another Motion for a Continuance.
- 9. On November 16, 2000, a third Procedural Order was issued setting the matter for hearing on January 24, 2001.
- 10. On January 24, 2001, at the scheduled time for the hearing to commence, Advanced made an oral Motion for a Continuance indicating that it was not ready to proceed with the hearing.
- 11. On January 25, 2001, a fourth Procedural Order was issued setting the matter for hearing on March 8, 2001.
  - 12. A hearing was held on March 8, 2001, and Advanced and Staff presented evidence.
- 13. Qwest Corporation and Advanced have not as yet reached an interconnection agreement.
- 14. The management of Advanced has many years of experience in the telecommunications industry.
- 15. Applicant has the technical capability to provide the services that are proposed in its application.
- 16. Currently there are several incumbent providers of local exchange, toll, and exchange access services in the service territory requested by Applicant, and numerous other entities have been authorized to provide competitive local exchange services in all or portions of that territory.
  - 17. It is appropriate to classify all of Applicant's authorized services as competitive.
- 18. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.

- 19. According to Staff, Advanced submitted financial statements for the fiscal year ending November 30, 1999. These financial statement list assets of \$151.24 million, total stockholders' equity of \$4.63 million, and a net loss of \$20.00 million on revenues of \$270,000. Based on this information, Staff believes that Advanced lacks sufficient financial strength to offer the requested telecommunications services in Arizona absent the procurement of a performance bond.
- 20. Staff recommended, as amended at the hearing, that Advanced's application for a Certificate to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, interexchange, and access telecommunications services be granted subject to the following conditions, that:
  - (a). in order to protect the Applicant's customers,
    - (1). Advanced shall file proof of a performance bond for \$100,000 no less than 30 days prior to providing service;
    - (2). if Advanced desires to discontinue service, it should file an application with the Commission pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107;
    - (3). Advanced should notify its customers and the Commission at least 30 days prior to filing an application to discontinue service pursuant to Commission rules, and any failure to do so should result in forfeiture of the Applicant's performance bond; and
    - (4). after one year of operation under the Certificate granted by the Commission, Advanced should be allowed to file a request for cancellation of its established performance bond. Such request should be accompanied by information demonstrating Advanced's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff will forward its recommendation to the Commission for a Decision that the requested cancellation is in the public interest.
  - (b). Advanced should file its tariffs within 30 days of an Order in this matter, and in accordance with this Decision;
  - (c). unless its provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, Applicant should procure an Interconnection Agreement before being allowed to offer local exchange service;
  - (d). Advanced should file with the Commission, within 30 days of an Order in this matter, its plan to have its customers telephone numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases;
  - (e). Advanced pursue permanent number portability arrangements with other LECs pursuant to Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
  - (f). Advanced agree to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism instituted in Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-T-03905A-00-0513E-95-0498);

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- (g). Advanced abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for USWC in Docket No. T-0151B-93-0183;
- (h). in areas where Applicant is the sole provider of local exchange service facilities, Advanced will provide customers with access to alternative providers of service pursuant to the provisions of Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules:
- (i). Advanced certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers within 30 days of an Order in this matter;
- (j). Advanced abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding CLASS services;
- (k). Advanced provide 2-PIC equal access;
- (l). Advanced notify the Commission immediately upon changes to its address or telephone number; and
- (m). Advanced comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
- 21. Staff further recommended that Advanced's tariffs be approved on an interim basis subject to the following:
  - (a). That Advanced should be required to file in this Docket, within 18 months of the date it first provides service following certification, sufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding, as well as for an analysis and recommendation for permanent tariff approval. This information must include, at a minimum, the following:
    - 1. A dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by Advanced following certification, adjusted to reflect the maximum rates that Advanced has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
    - 2. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by Advanced following certification.
    - 3. The value of all assets, listed by major category, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications services provided to Arizona customers by Advanced following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list.

- (b). Advanced's failure to meet the condition to timely file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs should result in the expiration of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity and of the tariffs
- 22. On August 29, 2000, the Court of Appeals, Division One, ("Court") issued its Opinion in Cause No. 1 CA-CV 98-0672 ("Opinion"). The Court determined that Article XV, Section 14 of the Arizona Constitution requires the Commission to "determine fair value rate base ("FVRB") for all public service corporations in Arizona prior to setting their rates and charges."
- 23. On October 26, 2000, the Commission filed a Petition for Review to the Arizona Supreme Court. On February 13, 2001, the Commission's Petition was granted. However, at this time we are going to request FVRB information to insure compliance with the Constitution should the ultimate decision of the Supreme Court affirm the Court's interpretation of Section 14. We also are concerned that the cost and complexity of FVRB determinations must not offend the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
  - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a Certificate to provide competitive telecommunications services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for Applicant to provide the telecommunications services set forth in its application.
- 6. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate authorizing it to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, interexchange, and exchange access telecommunications services in Arizona as conditioned by Staff's recommendations as modified below.

The telecommunications services that the Applicant intends to provide are competitive 1 7. 2 within Arizona. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, 3 8. it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Applicant to establish rates and charges which 4 are not less than the Applicant's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive 5 6 services approved herein. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 20 and 21 are reasonable and should 7 9. 8 be adopted. **ORDER** 9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Advanced TelCom Group, Inc. for a 10 Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive facilities-based and 11 resold local exchange, interexchange, and exchange access telecommunications services in Arizona 12 shall be, and is hereby, granted, as conditioned herein. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Advanced TelCom Group, Inc. shall comply with all of the 14 Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 20 and 21. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Advanced TelCom Group, Inc. shall file with the Compliance Section of the Utilities Division a letter indicating the date in which it will begin providing service at least 60 days prior to providing service. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER CHAIRMAN IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 24th day of poul, 2001. BRIAN C. MCNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY DISSENT SG:mlj 

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	ADVANCED TELCOM GROUP, INC.
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-03842A-00-0130
3		
4	Richard H. Levin, Chief Regulatory Counse	
5	Advanced TelCom, Inc. 110 Stony Point Road, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	
6	Santa Rosa, California 95401	
7	Timothy Berg FENNEMORE CRAIG	
8	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012	
9	Attorneys for Qwest Corporation	
10	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Devinti Williams	
11	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC	)N
12	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13	Deborah Scott, Director	
14	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	N
15	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		